


A.W.A.R.E's

Basic User

Guide







## **Response to Contempt of Court and Judicial Attack**

Everyone is TERRIFIED, unfamiliar in exploitive surroundings when they go into court. People shake, feel faint, often throw up, but be assured, this happens to seasoned attorneys, too. The richest and most powerful people in the world are the most terrified of judges in a courtroom. We have been told candidly by attorneys, that they are actors coming into a courtroom, often having been paid large sums of money to defend someone. In truth, they are often not prepared, have spent the money but have not done their work and have not even read the case file, but are expected to stand there and look of5cial, play the game with the fellow bar member judges and DA's to work both sides in the courtroom and above all NEVER let anyone know they haven't a clue what they are doing, or see through the facade that the facts, nor the truth, are not important to the court. They shake, their voices crack, their faces turn beet red (tomato heads!), and they also throw up sometimes before court- it happens to the best of people. So when you feel these things, realize they are normal, shake them off as much as possible, take a few deep breaths, and get in there and make the Record in your case. The judge will use a lot of maneuvering to prevent you from speaking, but you insist on getting the details in. If he resists, you say "I want to make an offer of proof, for my Appeal". A Judge Lawfully cannot refuse this, and in a jury trial he will send the jury out while you make the record. This is an absolute right you have. Sometimes, if you have a snarling judge, he will deny you this right anyway. Then, be sure and SAY - OUTLOUD - ON THE RECORD - "You, Judge are denying my right to access the Public Record and this is a denial of my rights of Due Process. I RESERVE ALL MY RIGHTS WITHOUT PREJUDICE, I DO NOT GIVE YOU PERMISSION TO VIOLATE MY INHERENT SOVEREIGN RIGHTS, I take exception to this, and will appeal this obstruction of Justice". The main thing is that you SAY THIS ON THE RECORD. NOW you have something to appeal. You must be c

The rendering is in the PROCESS and most people do not have experience to understand or recognize corrupt process when they are in the middle of it. Attorneys do - they created it and don't let everyone in on the secret while you and your family are destroyed.

To help all the people in courts right now who are discovering Sui Juris process and going in without attorneys, they need to know what to say when the judge turns into a raging dragon because they dared to ask a question or try to make the record, and to help keep from being arrested. These tools in particular are used and shared to expose those judges and other court officers who pay little heed, if any at all, to their Oath of Office when they attempt to silence or stifle your defense of Rights, and the State and U.S Constitutions.

If you know the right words, they back down quickly - they may still have you arrested, but you have said the right words on the record to discredit them in their contemptuous acts against you, and you will use this record in any appeal or future hearings as you go.

The main thing is to DISCREDIT THEM and IMPEACH THEM IN THEIR OWN COURTROOM if you say the right things.

This can be used in any court in any setting, at any level, all the same basic process, we think in any country, with slight variations. Sui Juris process is simple and common law, as any reasonable people would understand and bridges all forms of courts or dealing with public authorities.

One of the main TOOLS they use to arrest you in a courtroom is CONTEMPT OF COURT. Contempt potentially is an instant six months to a year sentence in jail.

They use this for any or no reason, mainly for intimidation. They have already used stun belts or stun guns on defendants who in asking for their Rights irritate the court.

When the court does this to you, (and it happens so fast it makes your head spin), if you have this written down, and can keep your wits about you enough to remember to say it, (you should practice it! It is THAT important!) This is what you say:

"IS THAT CIVIL CONTEMPT OR CRIMINAL CONTEMPT, JUDGE?" (You wait for a response on the record - do not talk until he answers and if they pause this LONG pause is on the record that he cannot answer you - the silence of a witness answering a question is an admission of truth in a court record and the longer the pause the better. All you want on the record is to make them COMMIT and then you go on, and now you have them caught in the permanent court record.

If he says "CRIMINAL CONTEMPT," you say "WHO MAKES THE CLAIM, WHAT IS THE CRIME AND WHO IS THE INJURED PARTY?" and wait again as long as it takes for him to say something.

If he says "CIVIL CONTEMPT," you say "WHERE IS THE CONTRACT BETWEEN ME AND YOU? I DON'T AGREE TO THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT, JUDGE."

NOW you have him acting CRIMINALLY OUTSIDE OF ANY LAWFUL JURISDICTION AND OUT OF IMMUNITY in his own courtroom on the record and here's why. In civil court, EVERYTHING is a CONTRACT and nothing can be done that is not a form of a contract. And ONLY HUMANS CAN LAWFULLY CONTRACT. Every citation, money exchange, order, anything at all is an exchange - a contract - between two humans. The Constitution is the contract between the Sovereigns, We the People with Inherent Rights, to establish a Constitutional State, and the elected or appointed officers thereof. Their contract is their Oath of Office binding them to support and defend the Constitution, upholding and protecting the Rights of the Sovereign, WE THE PEOPLE.

Then, when you say to him "I don't agree to the terms of the contract," he KNOWS he does not have a contract with you. If you have committed no crime he has no authority to arrest you or even be conducting the hearing - he is OUT of his lawful jurisdiction and OUT of his IMMUNITY.

If he says CRIMINAL CONTEMPT, like one judge did, judge R. W., with no lawful Oath of Office on record by the way, he made a FOOL of himself! He said "IF YOU ASK THAT AGAIN I AM HOLDING YOU IN CONTEMPT OF COURT," I said "IS THAT CRIMINAL OR CIVIL CONTEMPT, JUDGE? and he raged and said "CRIMINAL."

I said "WHAT CRIME HAVE I COMMITTED AND WHO MAKES THE CLAIM? WHO IS THE INJURED PARTY?" He went nuts and started yelling "THE STATE OF OREGON, THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM, THE COURT."

When I said "YOU KNOW THAT ONLY A HUMAN CAN MAKE CLAIM AND THERE IS NO CRIME AND NO INJURED PARTY - YOU KNOW THAT THE STATE CANNOT MAKE A CLAIM," he backed down and sat there red faced (he had already arrested me about three times for speaking during this contempt attempt) and it shut him down.

This was on the third day of the battle in his courtroom/sham jury trial - so after this confrontation backed him down he sat WAY BACK in his chair for three hours and let me make the record, while the jury waited in the back.

## MAKING THE RECORD WAS THE ONLY GOAL ANYWAY TO UPDATE THE RECORD IN THE CASE.

Unfortunately, the juries do not understand anything at all, and these confrontations scare them, so all the knowledge of court process and higher law goes right over their heads and they do EXACTLY what the judge LETS them do by the way he manipulates the jury instructions. This judge held his finger to his upper lip and looked like a cadaver for three hours, listening to the record of the crimes of our evidence against the state and his own treasonous contempt of his oath as I outlined what had happened.

That is how you make the Record. You may have to use another trick called OFFER OF PROOF. When they fight you and attack you, and rage, and say you can't say anything in front of the jury, and the Prosecutor interrupting literally EVERY sentence to stop you from speaking for days (I have gone through this!)... You tell the judge I AM GOING TO MAKE AN OFFER OF PROOF FOR MY APPEAL. He sometimes will go in the back room altogether and leave the record on, or he will sit way back and listen while you make the record of your facts without the jury present. Another trick process word is OFFER INTO EVIDENCE. They will let you go around for days and be denied access to Record if you don't say it that way. They may become insane, but, if you do use THEIR words, they know that they have to acknowledge that this is their process, and they use it, so you have to be able to use it too.

Another important phrase to use is RUSH TO JUDGMENT. After going around with them to a certain point and being blocked at all points, you say "ARE YOU TRYING TO RUSH ME TO JUDGMENT?" WOW!! - it works - they sit back and shut up so fast you would not believe - you would think they were shot - supposedly four times in a hearing saying that gets a reversal, but of that I am not sure.

But it is an important TOOL, you say this and it means they are preventing you from putting your evidence on Record as a lawful court and judicial due process requires, and for you to say this as they are doing it is like shooting them in their chair.

I hope people will write these things down and have them in front of them when they are terrified in court - everyone is terrified in the court, even the attorneys, especially when you are bringing truth of this magnitude in there - we say where the truth meets the lie there is fallout - like a neutron bomb, you definitely stir up the hornets' nest when you speak the truth in their courtrooms.

The rest of the Process for the People to Access the Courts is the hyperlinked pages on the Sui Juris page.

This is what REALLY happens when you are in court, not what you think will happen or hope will happen. Learning these tools, you will prepared to meet the present evil face to face.

If you are not in court, save this information, you may need it someday, and pass it on to friends who do need it.

As such, and from time and time again, reliable sources (including attorneys) reveal that "law" has no bearing on what happens in court proceedings as much as the "procedure" of which is only known to BAR members (judges, prosecutors, attorneys, including the very defense attorney you were gullible enough to use, hire or who was compelled upon you) who carefully and methodically extract either/all your time (community service/slave labor), money (bail, liens, levy, garnishment, fine, restitution), property (child, home, car, bank account) or your liberty (detention, jail, prison, probation). No one told you that your 'Attorney' can ONLY represent your 'Debtor' (an artificial person-entity). No one told you that court proceedings are purely "administrative" and not "judicial" as the "organic" Constitutions (State and Federal) mandate. In these "administrative" proceedings, why is it that these so-called courts do not explain the 'Nature and Cause' of the action, never prove 'Jurisdiction' and never allow you to have 'Counsel of your Choice' and never - never ever allow the jury to decide the law in a case/trial? Maybe those 'administrative' "Tribunals" are not Constitutional 'Judicial' Courts of Due Process. Welcome to Americal Or maybe you turned on the radio or television and heard yet another politician praising the passage of a Bill of which neither the politician nor the other members of Congress ever read, let alone having ever brought it before the unbiased masses for scrutiny (which is not done because the Federal Constitution is not for the People). Nearly every Bill passed restricts more and more, in profound ways, freedom of speech, property rights, and freedom of travel, while at the same time, gives public servants more power and authority without having to be accountable to the "people."

The Truth In The Record is the key to the courtroom. This is the core of the whole Lawful Process that insures you a fair hearing in an unbiased courtroom, among a jury of your peers, and a room filled with court watchers, to see that your rights are upheld. That is what a court is SUPPOSED to be. The important image to grasp is that it does not matter WHO is sitting on the chair at the altar of the court. That judge is a PUBLIC SERVANT, an unbiased referee, there only to maintain decorum and order, while you, the People, make your Public Record. He is supposed to be unbiased to a jury decision. That is ALL he is supposed to be doing! This is NOT what you experience in the courts today. Judges today are trained to be biased, tyrants used to making up the rules as they go, arbitrarily, and there has been no real oversight to stop them. The courts are not based in Law, but in practices and no Constitutional Due Process is in place. Discredit the Slanderous Accusers - Subpoena Your Adverse Witnesses At least ten days before any important hearing, you want to file your Motions, and you will also want to Subpoena the ones who are accusing you into the courtroom to testify. These are your opposing caseworkers, officers, attorneys, also any officials who have knowledge of your case directly and are knowingly allowing the courts and agencies to violate your rights or protect criminal public servants.

He is supposed to be unbiased to a jury decision. That is ALL he is supposed to be doing! This is NOT what you experience in the courts today. Judges today are trained to be biased, tyrants used to making up the rules as they go, arbitrarily, and there has been no real oversight to stop them. The courts are not based in Law, but in practices and no Constitutional Due Process is in place.

It is not enough that you are provided a witness list, along with the rest of your discovery that must lawfully be provided at least ten days before. You want to write your own subpoenas to insure they will stay after they appear for the state. You will cross examine these people yourself, and ask them "isn't it a fact that you said such and such, and isn't it a fact that you, and you question them that way. You do NOT ask open ended questions, like "why did you do this"...... You also do NOT argue with the person you are cross examining. You make them commit to a statement, on the Record, and then later you show how that statement is false or misleading, but at the time you just keep asking questions. You make the court discover to you all of the Records being used against you, and you then question the authors of these Reports about their truthfulness. We have had three police officers and a police chief perjure themselves blatantly under cross examination, and you will ask the truthful questions that no attorney will ask, and insist on confronting your accusers openly

It is extremely important that you do this, to make the officials who should be protecting you and prosecuting the criminals, be accountable for their silence. A form and sample Subpoena is Appendix H and I. On this, and some other process questions, you need to refer to your state Rules of Civil Procedure on differing state procedures. The bottom line, Sui Juris, is that you documents must be clearly written and clear in stating your intent. The court is not to deny you because you failed to conform to some administrative rule or process.

The bar scheme is called "confusion of case law and conflicting legal theories" and we do not play that game in the courtroom. It is a facade to keep up the appearance that you need their expertise and authority to understand the law. You do not want to try to learn to be an attorney. You are your Natural Person speaking for himself, truthful, factual statements about whatever has happened to you. Sui Juris litigants insist on being treated respectfully, not as victims incapable of aggressively pleading their own cases and fighting for themselves. Attorney pleadings go on for pages without substance, like a preacher who sermonizes for an hour and says nothing of any meaning - you do not do this. Your truth is amazingly powerful and your whole goal is to speak it and enter the Truth into the Public Record.

So, you write your Subpoenas and just like when you first filed your Complaint, someone else must serve the Subpoenas and you keep the process serve for he court, and a copy for yourself. These are not frivolous documents, (nothing you do should be frivolous, we are all accountable for right use of process and utmost integrity in our petitions) and are to be used for those people who have RELEVANT testimony and knowledge of your case. You should have questions written out in your notes when you go into court that you are going to ask them.

## **Birth Certificate in Court**

A few of us figured out (by the grace of the Source) that they are only wanting to charge some bogus bonds they are hiding, to your federal account and they only need our bond and/or permission to do the discharge. Otherwise you are to pay a fine and go to jail. But they neglected to tell us that the Birth certificate is the bond. And the birth certificate is proof that we are the beneficiary and not the trustee. The state is the trustee. Everything including crime and taxes is already prepaid through that bond / birth certificate. You bringing in the birth certificate proves that he has brought fraud into the court by claiming to be the beneficiary. Only the beneficiary (YOU) can be the plaintiff. And only the trustee (the state) can be the defendant. I do not give legal advice, but this is what I would do if I was in your shoes.

## IF you go into court...

"IF you have to go into court for the ESTATE, know who you are! Know the basis. Know what you are standing behind. Know that you, John Doe, are the living flesh and blood, man or woman, are the Authorized Representative over the ESTATE - ALL CAPS NAME, JOHN DOE. That ALL CAPITAL NAME IS NOT YOU."

Take a certified copy of your birth certificate (you got from the state) to court with you. DO NOT MAKE A COPY OR IT IS VOID! DO NOT MARK ON IT OR IT IS VOID!

When they call your name, you walk up to the bar but do not cross. If it is a court of record, ask them "Are we on the record?" Once you are satisfied you are on the record you can proceed. If they ask your name, ask again, "Are we on the record". (If it is a misdemeanor, then a lot of times there is no record, so just proceed.)

Hand the Birth certificate across the bar and say, "Let the record show that the person and birth certificate has been surrendered to the court." If they will not come take the Birth Certificate, then gently toss across the bar into the well of the court.

(NOTE: A person is a legal fiction. You are a living soul. A living soul is not a person.)

Then turn around and leave. Do not stop, do not answer questions. When they say "hey you stop", or "You have a court date on such and such..." ...keep on going and get out. DO NOT COME BACK!!! Do not let them bluff you into coming back. At the time of this writing, this has worked 100% of the time. However, about 5% of the folks who do this, report that they try to threaten you with letters. The worse that may happen is they send you a bill for whatever you are accused of, and possibly a threat of an arrest warrant.

Sometimes they send a letter with a new court date. And the very latest was a threat letter saying that there was an arrest warrant. And threatened them with arrest, if they did not come down to be arraigned. However, if they could have issued or served a warrant, they would have. So this has all been a bluff 100% of the time. Even in the letter that said there was an arrest warrant, (and there was one on record) it was never processed or served. Bluff, bluff. If you receive any of these letters, mail them back with a regular letter stating that you reserve all of your rights UCC 1-308 and do not consent. Do not enter anything into the record or place a court heading at the top of your letter or that will be consent. A regular letter only.(NOTE: A person is a legal fiction. You are a living soul. A living soul is not a person.)

Only once has anyone reported being grabbed while leaving the court. And this man was still successful in leaving without prosecution. Method 2Use to, you could simply tell the court that you reserve all of your rights UCC 1-308 and they would immediately let ya go. But anymore they keep coming against ya and try to trick you into their jurisdiction. Which most folks fall for. Filing documents into the court and motions gives them jurisdiction and so they take the opportunity to deny everything and keep working against you. However, UCC 1-308 is the remedy to the courts, so it was a matter of figuring out how to apply it without give jurisdiction. As it turns out, the method is almost the same as the Birth certificate above. This method has not been tried nearly as many times as method one above, but has been 100% successful thus far.

Say, "Let the record show that I, (Say your first name only) reserve all of my rights UCC 1-308 and I do not consent."

Then turn around and leave. Do not stop, do not answer questions. When they say "hey you stop" ...keep on going and get out. The following is a suggested way to handle the situation for the one fellow that may get grabbed. Do not resist if they lay hands on you and force you into the well of the court. If they do, do not answer to any name.

NEVER be caught unprepared. You prepare what you are going to say on paper BEFORE you go into court as well as possible. DO NOT think that you've got it in your head what you are going to say. I PROMISE you the best and most experienced cannot go into a courtroom without something written down and remember all the important things that need to be said. The judge and the attorneys are trained professionals at diverting you, distracting you, shutting you down, getting you scared and confused and emotional and if you do not have something written to refer to you will NOT cover the facts that need to go into the Record. This is so important that we cannot say it enough. This is why you write your affidavits, and then you can read these facts when you go in about what has happened to you.

**Golden rule:** 

Always, get your truth in the Record!